

**Statistics for the paper:**

Brunner & Szabadics (2016) Analogue modulation of back-propagating action potentials enables dendritic hybrid signalling.

Nature Communications, **7:13033**, DOI:10.1038/NCOMMS13033

RESULTS		Page Figure	Test Used	n	reported data	p value	F/t/R ² (DF)
difference in baseline G/R signal		p. 2	Student t-test	54 line scans	mean ± SEM	0.17036	t(53)=-1.38995
effects of somatic hyperpolarization on dendritic bAP-evoked calcium-signals	proximal	p. 2	Student t-test	31 line scans	mean ± SEM	2.5x10 ⁻⁹	t(30)=8.35
	distal	p. 3		12		0.0022	t(11)=-3.981
distance dependence of the effects of somatic hyperpolarization on dendritic bAP-evoked calcium-signals		p. 3	linear regression	54 line scans	adjusted R ²	2.9x10 ⁻¹² R ² : 0.604	F(53) = 81.96
MP with or without gIA		p. 5	paired t-test	11 cells	mean ± SEM	0.633	t(10)=-0.4919
AP peak with or without gIA				11		0.031	t(10)=2.516
ca-signals with or without gIA		p. 5, Fig.4c	Student t-test	11 line scans	mean ± SEM	0.00012	t(10)=6.081
ca-signals with or without gpassive				7		0.685	t(6)=-0.426
comparison of calcium currents in nucleated patches evoked by hyperpolarized or depolarized AP-waveforms in the presence of...	NNC	p. 7, Fig.5a	Student t-test	9 nucl. patches	mean ± SEM	0.00073	t(8)=5.298
	Ni ²⁺			6		0.444	t(5)= 0.83106
effects of somatic hyperpolarization on dendritic bAP-evoked calcium-signals in the presence of...	NNC	p. 7, Fig.5c	Student t-test	26 line scans	mean ± SEM	2x10 ⁻⁸	t(25)=8.016
	Ni ²⁺			9		2x10 ⁻⁵	t(8)=9.002
effects of somatic hyperpolarization on dendritic bAP-evoked calcium-signals		p. 7, Fig.5c	ANOVA with posthoc Bonferroni	26, 9, 14 line scans	mean ± SEM	6x10 ⁻⁵ Bonferroni: 0.0046	F(2,46)=12.02983
dendritic bAP-evoked calcium-signals during the fluctuation of somatic membrane potential in theta range at RT	distal (100-175 μm)	p. 7, Fig.6b	One-way Repeated Measure ANOVA	5 cells		0.00655	F(1.32668,5.30671)=17.01206, Greenhouse-Geisser corrected
	proximal (25-100 μm)			5		0.06229	F(2.27022,9.08089)=3.71883, Greenhouse-Geisser corrected
magnitude of LTP; evoked by pairing uncaging-evoked EPSPs with synchronous Aps from hyperpolarized or depolarized somatic membrane potentials	control	p. 8-9, Fig.6e	two sample Student t-test	8, 8	individual measurements and relative mean ± SEM	0.01281	t(14)=2.85165
	ICa blocked			8, 8 cells		0.99019	t(14)=0.01252
	no AP			6, 6		0.44719	t(10)=-0.7912
METHODS		Page Figure	Test Used	n	reported data	p value	F/t/R ² (DF)
pipette capacitance vs. distance of recording site from soma		p.10	linear regression	22 pipettes	adjusted R ²	0.6956	F(21)=0.15758
access resistance vs. distance of recording site from soma				24 pipettes		0.04684	F(23)=4.43517

**Statistics for the paper:**

Brunner & Szabadics (2016) Analogue modulation of back-propagating action potentials enables dendritic hybrid signalling.

Nature Communications, **7:13033**, DOI:10.1038/NCOMMS13033

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES		Figure	Test Used	n	reported data	p value	F/t/R ² (DF)	
AP amplitude with various series resistance at the monitoring pipette	16-50	Suppl. Figure 1c	linear regression	55	adjusted R ² ; slope ± SEM	<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(53)=4058.94508	
	50-100			61		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(59)=1735.06523	
	100-150			45		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(43)=515.09025	
	150-200			22		1.7x10 ⁻¹³	F(20)=299.48721	
	200-270			64		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(62)=141.26535	
Half width with various series resistance at the monitoring pipette	16-50			55		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(53)=2090.62421	
	50-100			61		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(59)=489.69017	
	100-150			45		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(43)=463.65775	
	150-200			22		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(20)=768.0357	
	200-270			64		<10 ⁻¹⁶	F(62)=377.33314	
ca-signals during theta at 36°C	distal (100-175 µm)	Suppl. Figure 4b	One-way Repeated Measure ANOVA	7	cells	8.589x10 ⁻⁴	F(2.29657,13.7794)=11.82407 Greenhouse-Geisser corrected	
	proximal (25-75 µm)			8		0.42108	F(2.09729,14.68105)=0.92924 Greenhouse-Geisser	
effects of mglu activation on calcium signals in the presence of tertiapin-Q	45-90 µm	Suppl. Figure 6	Student t-test	9	line scans	mean ± SEM	0.80584	t(8)=-0.25408
	97-160 µm from soma			9			0.9129	t(8)=-0.11289
distal bAP waveform extended by X ms step vs. control bAP waveform	0.75 ms	Suppl. Figure 8b	Student t-test	9	nucl. patches	mean ± SEM	1.5748x10 ⁻⁶	t(8)=12.49481
	2 ms			9			2.6531x10 ⁻⁷	t(8)=15.73871
	3.75 ms			9			1.1759x10 ⁻⁴	t(8)=6.95696
	5 ms			9			8.4362x10 ⁻⁶	t(8)=10.00822
proximal bAP waveform extended by X ms step vs. control bAP waveform	0.75 ms			9			0.14128	t(8)=1.63219
	1 ms			3			0.28194	t(2)=1.45908
	2 ms			12			0.002	t(11)=4.02483
	3.75 ms			9			0.19235	t(8)=1.42367
	5 ms	12	0.31397	t(11)=-1.05518				

**Statistics for the paper:**

Brunner & Szabadics (2016) Analogue modulation of back-propagating action potentials enables dendritic hybrid signalling.

Nature Communications, **7:13033**, DOI:10.1038/NCOMMS13033

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES		Figure	Test Used	n	reported data	p value	F/t/R ² (DF)
effect of Cs on AP half-width		Suppl. Figure 10a	paired t-test	7 cells	mean ± SEM	0.00286	t(6)=4.84588
distal ca-signals recovery		Suppl. Figure 12a	Exponential Decay Fit	11 cells	tau ± SEM	1.1375x10 ⁻⁸	R ² =0.91523, F(4)=18750.64774
proximal ca-signals recovery				15		3.4148x10 ⁻⁷	R ² =0.89619, F(4)=3420.54013
recovery from inactivation				17 nucl. patches		1.3948x10 ⁻⁶	R ² =0.83735, F(4)=12014.34748
Input resistance during the control synaptic plasticity experiments	depol	Suppl. Figure 13c	Student t-test	8	individual measurements and relative mean ± SEM	0.28386	t(7)=1.16057
	hyperpol			8		0.06645	t(7)=2.17171
Input resistance during the synaptic plasticity experiments with blocked I _{Ca}	depol			8		0.29935	t(7)=1.1208
	hyperpol			8		0.53905	t(7)=0.6457
Input resistance during the synaptic plasticity experiments without AP	depol			6		0.69738	t(5)=0.41204
	hyperpol			6		0.78222	t(5)=-0.29171